

department of commerce United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
.09/734,752 <u>-</u>	12/11/ 0 0 -	— WARRINGTON		J	3308.3
_		1.164.4 (7) (7) [7] (7)	一		EXAMINER
022886 AFFYMETRIX,	T MC	HM12/0507		SPIEGL	FR.A
		L, LEGAL DEPT.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3380 CENTRAL SANTA CLARA		Υ		1656	5
				DATE MAILED	: 05/07/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

•		
. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/734,752	WARRINGTON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alexander H. Spiegler	1656
The MAILING DATE of this communication	tion appears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statul - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will - Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a replication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS I, by statute, cause the application to become ABANI	y be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 5 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 06 March 2001	
/ -	n)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	or allowance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.	•
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claims are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are o		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed		sapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	ocuments have been received in App	lication No
 Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internat See the attached detailed Office action 	the priority documents have been re- ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). for a list of the certified copies not rec	
14)⊠ Acknowledgement is made of a claim		
•		·
Attachment(s)		
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa 	O-948) 19) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/734,752

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A) Claims 1-2 is indefinite over the recitation of "measuring the physiological state of said experimental sample" because it is not clear as to how one measures a physiological state of a sample.
- B) Claims 1-2 are indefinite over the recitation of "similar", which is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "similar" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the metes and bounds of the invention.
- C) Claims 1-2 are indefinite over the recitation of "matches" because it is not clear as to what is matched between the experimental and reference samples.
- D) Claims 3-6 are indefinite because the claims do not recite a final process step which clearly relates back to the preamble. For example, with respect to claim 3, the preamble states, that the method is for identifying markers to assay efficacy of drug therapies in women, but the final process step is for measuring the expression profile of a female sample before drug treatment, and comparing it to the expression profile of a sample from the same subject after

Application/Control Number: 09/734,752

Art Unit: 1656

method of identifying markers to assay efficacy of drug therapies in women or a method of measuring the expression profile of a female sample before drug treatment, and comparing it to the expression profile of a sample from the same subject after drug treatment.

E) Claim 4 is indefinite over the recitation of "matching indicators of physiological status" because it is not clear as to whether the experimental and reference samples have the matching indicators of physiological status or whether the plurality of reference samples have matching indicators of physiological status.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Friend et al. (USPN 6,218,122).

Friend teaches methods of monitoring disease states and therapies using gene expression profiles. Specifically, Friend teaches a method of determining (i.e. diagnosing) the level of a disease of a sample comprising; (a) obtaining a diagnostic profile (i.e. experimental sample) by measuring the abundance of cellular constituents in a cell (i.e. expression profile) from a subject known or suspected of having a disease state; (b) obtaining interpolated perturbation response profiles for each disease state being monitored by, first, obtaining response profiles by measuring

Application/Control Number: 09/734,752

Art Unit: 1656

the abundance of cellular constituents that occur in cells of an analogous subject or subjects at a plurality of levels of each disease state (i.e. reference samples of known disease states), and second, interpolating the thus obtained response profiles; and (c) determining the interpolate perturbation response profile for each disease state for which similarity is greatest between the diagnostic profile and a combination of the determined interpolated response profiles (i.e. comparing the experimental sample to the reference sample), wherein the level of a particular disease state is thereby indicated by the disease level correlated to the thus determined interpolated response profile for that disease state (i.e. diagnosing the experimental sample with the disease of the matching reference sample) (col. 3, ln. 7-26). With respect to claims 3, 7, and 9, the reference teaches methods for monitoring the beneficial effects or adverse effects of a therapy, such as drug treatment (i.e. pharmacological state) (col. 3, ln. 27-49) in human patients (i.e. woman) (col. 4, ln. 58-59). The reference further teaches that the analogous subject is a subject of the same species exhibiting the disease state, and may be of the same sex and or approximate age (i.e. physiological status) (col. 14, ln. 9-12).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lockhart et al. (USPN 6,033,860)

Lockhart teaches that potential drugs can be screened to determine if the expression of particular genes is inappropriately altered (i.e. a method of identifying markers useful in gene expression) (col. 3, ln. 67 to col. 4, ln. 2). This may be useful, for example, in determining whether a particular drug is prescribed to a pregnant woman (i.e. drug therapy in a woman) (col. 4, ln. 2-4). In the case where a fetally expressed gene's expression is affected by the potential drug, prohibition of the drug to pregnant woman is indicated (col. 4, ln. 4-6). Similarly, a drug

Art Unit: 1656

which causes expression of a gene which is not normally expressed by a fetus, should be prohibited to pregnant woman (i.e. measuring the expression of a female sample before drug treatment and comparing it to the expression profile of a sample from the same subject after drug treatment) (col. 4, ln. 6-9). The reference further teaches that monitoring of the efficacy of certain drugs can be accomplished by following the expression patterns of molecular markers (col. 4, ln. 20-23), and can be studied at various stages, such as gastrointestinal stages, at birth, postnatally, and throughout the human life cycle (col. 4, ln. 30-33).

Conclusion

6. No claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander H. Spiegler whose telephone number is (703) 305-0806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached on (703) 308-1152. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Alexander H. Spiegler

May 4, 2001

KENNETH R. HORLICK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

S/4/6